04-29-07

PATENTS 112025-0138 1584



### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re The Application of: Kui Zhang et al.	)	RECEIVED
Serial No.: 09/345,193	)	Examiner: Jain, Raj K APR 3 0 2004
Filed: June 30, 1999	)	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MEASURING LATENCY OF A COMPUTER NETWORK	) ) )	Art Unit: 2664
,	)	Cesari and McKenna, LLP 88 Black Falcon Avenue Boston, MA 02210

"Express Mail" Mailing-Label Number:

EV 335588024 US

April 27, 2004

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy ATTN: Office of Patent Legal Administration P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

### **PETITION TO CORRECT FILING DATE**

Applicants hereby petition to correct the filing date of the above-identified application. Although this application was accorded a filing date of June 30, 1999, the correct filing date should be July 1, 1999 (one day later), which is the date on which the last part necessary to complete this application was received by the Patent and Trademark Office. In particular, the papers corresponding to this application incorporate by reference a second patent application that, although intended to be filed on the same day as the present



# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	RECEIVED
In Re The Application of:	)
Kui Zhang et al.	) APR 3 0 2004
Serial No.: 09/345,193	Examiner: Jain, Raj KOFFICE OF PETITIONS
Filed: June 30, 1999	)
	) Art Unit: 2664
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MEASURING LATENCY OF A COMPUTER NETWORK	) ) )
	Cesari and McKenna, LLP 88 Black Falcon Avenue Boston, MA 02210 April 28, 2004
EXPRESS-M	AIL DEPOSIT
"Express Mail" Mailing-Label Number:	EV 335588024 US
The following papers are being depo "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" ser	sited with the United States Postal Service rvice pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.10:
X Petition to Correct Filing Date X Fee Transmittal Letter	X Return Receipt Postcard X Check in the amount of \$130.00

Patent and Trademark Office/SB/17 (10-03)
Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Attorney Docket No. 112025-0138

FEE TRANSMITTAL	Complete if Known					
I EE IIAMOMIIIIAE	Application Number	09/345,193				
for FY 2003	Filing Date	June 30, 1999				
Effective 01/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	First Named Inventor	Kui Zhang et alpp 3 0 2004				
Applicant Claims Small Entity Status. See 37 CFR 1.27	Examiner Name	Jain, Raj K				
Applicant Glains Small Entity Status. See 37 CFK 1.27	Group Art Unit	2664 DESIGN OF PETITION'S				
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 130	Attorney Docket No.	112025-0138				

METHOD (	METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)			FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
Check Credit Card Money Other None		3. ADDITIONAL FEES							
Deposit Account Order		Large	Entif	y Sma	all Entit	ty			
Deposit	03-1237			Fee	Fee		Fee Des	cription	Fee Paid
Account Number			Code	(\$)	Code	(\$)			
			1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee	or oath	
Deposit Account	Cesari and McKenna, LL	P	1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provision sheet	al filing fee or cover	
Name The Commissioner	is authorized to: Johnsk all that ann	64	1053	130	1053	130	Non-English Specification	ı	
The Commissioner	is authorized to: (check all that app Charge any deficience	y or	1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex	parte reexamination	
Charge fee indicated below Credit any overpayments		1804	920	1804	920*	Requesting publication of	SIR prior to		
Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application		4005	4 0 4 0			Examiner action			
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-		1805	1,840	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of S Examiner action	SIR after	]	
identified deposit account.		1251	110	2251	55		first month	<b>-</b>	
FEE CALCULATION		1252		2252	205	Extension for reply within			
4 54010 511 11			1253	930	2253	465	Extension for reply within		
1. BASIC FILIN	IG FEE		1254	1,450	2254	725	Extenstion for reply within		$\vdash$
Large Entity Small E		Daid	1255	1,970	2255	985	Extension for reply within		<b></b>
Fee Fee Fee Code (\$) Code	Fee Fee Description Fee (\$)	Paid	1401	320	2401	160	Notice of Appeal		
1001 750 2001	375 Utility filing fee		1402	320	2402	160	Filing a brief in support of	an appeal	
1002 330 2002	165 Design filing fee		1403	280	2403	140	Request for oral hearing		<b></b>
1003 520 2003	260 Plant filing fee		1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public	c use proceeding	<b></b>
1004 750 2004	375 Reissue filing fee		1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoid	dable	
1005 160 2005	80 Provisional filing fee		1453	1,300	2453	650	Petition to revive - uninten	tional	
	SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)	0	1501	1,300	2501	650	Utility Issue fee (or reissue	∍)	
		1502	470	2502	235	Design Issue fee			
2. EXTRA CLAI	M FEES FOR UTILITY AND F Extra Fee from	KEISSUE	1503	630	2503	315	Plant Issue fee		
		Fee Paid	1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commission	oner	
Total Claims	- 20** = 0 ×	= 0	1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 C	CFR 1.17(q)	
Independent Claims		= -	1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information	Disclosure Stmt	
	J - L		8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent as:	•	
Multiple Dependent		= 0	4000	750	2000	075	property (times number of	. , ,	
Large Entity   Smal			1809	/50	2809	3/5	Filing a submission after fi (37 CFR 1.129(a))	nai rejection	
Fee Fee Fee Code (\$) Code	Fee Fee Description (\$)		1810	750	2810	375	For each additional inventi	ion to be	<del> </del>
1202 18 2202	9 Claims in excess of 20						examined (37 CFR 1.129(		
1201 84 2201 1203 280 2203	<ul><li>42 Independent claims in excess</li><li>140 Multiple dependent claim, if n</li></ul>		1801	750	2801	375	Request for Continued Exa	amination (RCE)	
1203 280 2203	42 **Reissue independent claim:		1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited exa	mination	
4005 40 0000	original patent		<u></u>				of a design application		
1205 18 2205	2205 9 **Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent				pecify) pecify)		tition to Correct	Filing Date	130
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0			*Redu	iced b	y Basid	: Filing i	Fee Paid SUBTO	ΓAL (3) (\$)	130
	paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below								
SUBMITTED BY	Michael B. Beines	Registrati	on No	Т.			Complete (ii		
Name (Print/Type)	-Michael R. Reinemann	(Attorney)		3	8,28	·	Telephone	(617) 951-25	
Signature Multi Communication							Date	April 28, 20	04

application, was not in fact filed until July 1, 1999. Because this second application represents a necessary part of the present application, the correct filing date of the present application is actually July 1, 1999.

A. The Materials Filed On June 30, 1999 Incorporate A Second Patent Application By Reference.

On June 30, 1999, Applicants submitted a specification (31 pages), drawings (7 sheets) and 17 claims by Express Mail pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.10. The Patent and Trademark Office assigned Application Serial No. 09/345,193 to these papers and accorded a filing of June 30, 1999. The specification filed on June 30, 1999, however, refers to, and incorporates by reference, a second application. More specifically, the specification of June 30, 1999 states as follows:

To the extent source and destination ports are used by entities 202 and 204, the port numbers are preferably selected in accordance with commonly owned and co-pending U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. [insert serial number] entitled A Protocol to Coordinate Network End Points to Measure Network Latency, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

See Specification at page 14, lines 14-18 attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Later on at p. 22, the specification of June 30, 1999 refers to the second application as disclosing a preferred embodiment of an aspect of the invention, as follows:

In the preferred embodiment, the format of the test message corresponds to the Network Endpoint Control Protocol (NECP), as described in previously referenced and incorporated U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. [insert serial number].

<u>See</u> Specification at page 22, lines 3-6 attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u>. Reliance on a commonly assigned copending application for the purpose of completing a disclosure is permitted by the MPEP. <u>See</u> MPEP §608.01(p).

### B. The Second Application Was Not Filed Until July 1, 1999

At the time, it was intended that these two applications would be filed on the same date, i.e., on June 30, 1999. It turns out, however, that the second application was not filed until July 1, 1999, i.e., one day later. More specifically, the second application was filed on July 1, 1999 by Express Mail pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.10. A copy of the filing receipt issued for the second application is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

This condition was apparently not discovered by the Patent and Trademark Office, and was only just discovered by counsel for the applicants. Upon learning of this situation, counsel for the applicants worked expeditiously to prepare and file this Petition to correct the filing date.

# C. <u>The Filing Date Of The Present Application Should Be Corrected To July 1, 1999</u>

The rules of the Patent and Trademark Office as well as the long standing practice of the Office provide that papers claiming to be an application for patent will not be accorded a filing date until a complete application is received by the Patent and Trademark Office. See 37 C.F.R. §1.53; In re Bosie, 207 U.S.P.Q. 1139, 1141 (Comm'r Pat. & Trademarks 1979) (holding that by long standing practice of the Office, the "filing date of an incomplete application is the date on which the last part completing the application is filed in the Office.") The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has similarly ruled that the "filing date of [a patent] application is the date on which the *complete* application, acceptable for placing in the files for examination is received in the Patent and Trademark Office; or the date on which the *last part completing* such application is re-

ceived". <u>Litton Systems, Inc. v. Whirlpool Corp.</u>, 728 F. 2d 1423, 1437; 221 U.S.P.Q. 97, 105 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

Because the second application describes the preferred embodiment of an aspect of the present invention, the second application contains material that is essential to the specification filed June 30, 1999. See MPEP §608.01(p) (defining "essential material" as that which describes the claimed invention, provides an enabling disclosure or describes the best mode). This essential material, however, was not received by the Patent and Trademark Office until the next day, i.e., July 1, 1999. Accordingly, the present application was not complete until then. See MPEP §506. In these circumstances, the correct filing date of the present application should be July 1, 1999, which is the date on which the last part of the application was received by the Patent and Trademark Office.

By virtue of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the filing date of the present application be corrected to July 1, 1999.

Applicants submit a check in the amount of \$130 to cover the petition fee set forth at 37 C.F.R. §1.17(h).

Please charge any additional fee occasioned by this paper to our Deposit Account No. 03-1237.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael R. Reinemann

Reg. No. 38,280

CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP

88 Black Falcon Avenue Boston, MA 02210-2414

(617) 951-2500

The session object 446 similarly includes a length field 462, a class number field 464, a class type field 466, an IP DA field 468, a protocol field 470 and a destination port field 472. Again, fields 462-466 are preferably loaded in accordance with the RSVP specification for session objects. IP DA field 468 is loaded with the IP address of destination entity 204 (Fig. 2), protocol field 470 preferably specifies the IP protocol of the anticipated data flow, which typically corresponds to the contents of protocol field 410 of IP header 402. Destination port field 472 contains the transport layer port to which message area 404 should be passed at destination entity 204. The contents of field 472 may also be de-asserted. Furthermore, if path message area 404 includes a sender tspec object 450, its contents (other than the corresponding length, class number, and class type fields) are also preferably de-asserted. By de-asserting the sender tspec object 450, source entity 202 stops layer 3 devices along the selected path from pre-reserving any bandwidth for the identified traffic flow.

10

15

20

25

30

To the extent source and destination ports are used by entities 202 and 204, the port numbers are preferably selected in accordance with commonly owned and copending U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. [insert serial number] entitled A Protocol to Coordinate Network End Points to Measure Network Latency, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

After generating path state setup message 400, latency determination engine 340 preferably directs the message generator 344 to transmit it into the network 200 (Fig. 2) via network communication facility 346. Message 400 is first received by the layer 3 device to which source entity 202 is directly coupled (i.e., layer 3 device 206). In particular, message 400 is captured by one of the inbound communication interfaces 302a-c (Fig. 3), which determines that message 400 carries options area 418, including router alert option 422, and therefore should be further processed by device 206. Accordingly, the inbound interface 302 passes message 400 to the options processor 304, which examines options area 418 and determines that it includes source routing option 420 as well as router alert option 422. In response to the detection of router alert option 422, options processor 304 examines that portion of message 400 following the IP header 402 (i.e., path message area 404). Options processor 304 is preferably configured to recognize

cility 342 to create a time record or time stamp. Engine 340 places the time record into a test message and hands it down to the network communication facility 346 for transmission to destination entity 204. In the preferred embodiment, the format of the test message corresponds to the Network Endpoint Control Protocol (NECP), as described in previously referenced and incorporated U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. [insert serial number]. The network communication facility 346 preferably encapsulates the test message containing the time record in a corresponding packet. For example, the network communication facility 346 may first create one or more transport layer packets similar to the TCP packet of Fig. 1B, placing the test message from engine 340 into the data field 156. In the source port field 152, latency determination engine 340 directs communication facility 346 to load the value used in the source port field 460 of the sender template object 444 from the path state setup message 400 described above. In the destination port field 154, communication facility 346 is directed to load the value used in the destination port field 472 of the session object 446 from the path state setup message 400. The transport layer packet is then passed down to the respective network layer where it may be encapsulated in a corresponding network layer packet, which, in the preferred embodiment, is preferably similar to IP packet 100 of Fig. 1A. Significantly, the test message utilized with the present invention does not include any options, thus there is no options area 130. In the IP SA field 126 of the test message, network communication facility 346 loads the IP address of source entity 202 (as utilized in the IP SA field 458 of the path state setup message 400), and, in the IP DA field 128, it loads the IP address of destination entity 204 (as utilized in the IP DA field 468 of the path state setup message 400). In the protocol field 122, communication facility 346 places the value, if any, previously utilized in the protocol field 470 from the path state setup message 400.

10

15

20

25

30

Communication facility 346 then transmits the test message to destination entity 204. Those skilled in the art will understand that the IP packet containing the time record may be encapsulated in additional messages by other layers of the protocol stack utilized by the network communication facility 346 of source entity 202. The test message is first received at layer 3 device 206, which is coupled to source entity 202. In particular, the message is received at an inbound communication interface 302, and, since, it does not contain any options, it is passed directly to the packet classifier 308. The packet classi-

FILING RECEIPT



UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	DRWGS	TOT CL	IND CL
09/346,080 07/01/99			1120250137	<b>&gt;</b> 4	28	5

WILLIAM A. LOGINOV CESARI & MCKENNA 30 ROWES WHARF BOSTON MA 02110 AUG 0 2 1599 NF 8/2/99

Receipt is acknowledged of this nonprovisional Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Customer Service Center, Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts of Application" ("Wissing Parts Notice") in this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the "Missing Parts Notice." When the PTO processes the reply to the "Missing Parts Notice," the PTO will generate another Filing Receipt Incorporating the requested corrections (if appropriate).

Applicant(s)

KUI ZHANG, CUPERTINO, CA; SATANARAYANA R. RAPARLA, SAN JOSE, CA; JOHN LAUTMANN, FREEMONT, CA; FRANK G. BORDONARO, LOS GATOS, CA.

IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED 07/28/99 TITLE

A PROTOCOL TO COORDINATE NETWORK END POINTS TO MEASURE NETWORK LATENCY

PRELIMINARY CLASS: 380

DATA ENTRY BY: BATIE, MARGARET

TEAM: 01 DATE: 07/28/99

# ENSE FOR FOREIGN FILING UN R Title 35, United States Code, Section 184 Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 5.11 & 5.15

GRANTED

The applicant has been granted a license under 35 U.S.C. 184, if the phrase "FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" followed by a date appears on the reverse side of this form. Such licenses are issued in all applications where the conditions for issuance of a license have been met, regardless of whether or not a license may be required as set forth in 37 CFR 5.11. The scope and limitations of this license are set forth in 37 CFR 5.15(a) unless an earlier license has been issued under 37 CFR 5.15(b). The license is subject to revocation upon written notification. The date indicated is the effective date of the license, unless an earlier license of similar scope has been granted under 37 CFR 5.13 or 5.14.

This license is to be retained by the licensee and may be used at any time on or after the effective date thereof unless it is revoked. This license is automatically transferred to any related application(s) filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d). This license is not retroactive.

The grant of a license does not in any way lessen the responsibility of a licensee for the security of the subject matter as imposed by any Government contract or the provisions of existing laws relating to espionage and the national security or the export of technical data. Licensees should apprise themselves of current regulations, especially with respect to certain countries, of other agencies, particularly the Office of Defense Trade Controls, Department of State (with respect to Arms, Munitions and Implements of War (22 CFR Parts 121-128)); the Office of Export Administration, Department of Commerce (15 CFR 370.10 (j)); the Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of Treasury (31 CFR Parts 500+) and the Department of Energy.

#### NOT GRANTED

No license under 35 U.S.C. 184 has been granted at this time, if the phrase "FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" DOES NOT appear on the reverse side of this form. Applicant may still petition for a license under 37 CFR 5.12, if a license is desired before the expiration of 6 months from the filing date of the application. If 6 months has lapsed from the filing date of this application and the licensee has not received any indication of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181, the licensee may foreign file the application pursuant to 37 CFR 5.15(b).

## PLEASE NOTE --- The Following Information about the Filing Receipt:

The articles such as "a," "an" and "the" are not included as the first words in the title of an application. They are considered to be unnecessary to the understanding of the title.

The words "new," "improved," "improvement," "improvements in or relating to" are not included as the first words in the title of an application because a patent application is, by nature, a new idea or improvement.

The title may be truncated if it consists of more than 4 lines of 70 characters each (letters and spaces combined).

The inventor information may be truncated if the family name consists of more than 25 characters (letters and spaces combined) and if the given name consists of more than 25 characters (letters and spaces combined). The inventor's residence allows for up to 40 characters (letters and spaces combined).

The docket number allows a maximum of 12 characters.

If your application was submitted under 37 CFR 1.10, your filing date should be the "date in" found on the Express Mail label. If there is a discrepancy, you should submit a request for a corrected Filing Receipt along with a copy of the Express Mail label showing the "date in."

Customer Address may have been modified to conform to U.S. Postal rules.

Please direct correction, including a copy of your Filing Receipt, to:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Office of Initial Patent Examination
Customer Service Center
Washington, DC 20231